



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,422	02/20/2007	Naotaka Izumi	Q96712	3050
23373	7590	06/01/2007	EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.				
SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			1714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/591,422	IZUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick D. Niland	1714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/1/06

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 6-11 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____.

1. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A. The terms "high molecular weight" and "low molecular weight" in claims 6-11 are relative terms which render the claim indefinite. The terms "high molecular weight" and "low molecular weight" are not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The instant specification does not define "high molecular weight" and "low molecular weight". The examples are non-limiting and do not establish the absolute limits of these relative terms.

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 4501852 Markusch et al..

Markusch discloses reacting a polyurethane prepolymer forming ingredients in sequential manner, including the instantly claimed reactants (abstract; column 1, lines 55-68; column 2, lines 1-68, particularly 4-20; column 3, lines 1-68, particularly 19-40 which encompasses the instantly claimed high molecular weight polyols and the isocyanates; column 6, lines 33-46 which falls within the scope of the instantly claimed low molecular weight glycol containing a

carboxyl group; column 7, lines 1-68, particularly 3-10 and 38-47 et seq., which falls within the scope of the instantly claimed polyisocyanate containing a nonionic polar group; column 8, lines 60-68 and column 9, lines 1-18 which encompasses the instantly claimed process steps of reacting the polyurethane forming components, noting particularly "sequentially"; column 10, lines 1-68, particularly 20-23 and 43-47, which implies alkali resistance since hydrolysis is often caused by alkali; column 11, lines 60-68 of which 63-64 falls within the scope of the instantly claimed neutralization step; column 12, lines 1-68, particularly 64-68 and column 13, lines 1-32 which falls within the scope of the instantly claimed emulsification step and lines 55-68 and column 14, lines 49-64 which shows that some NCO/water reaction is desired and which falls within the scope of the instantly claimed chain extending step of claims 6-8; column 15, lines 1-13; and the remainder of the document. Neutralization of the COOH groups may be performed after reaction and prior to dispersing in water. The prepolymer may be chain extended with water and polyamine which falls within the scope of the instant claims 6-8. The exact reaction scheme of the instant claims is not specified by Markusch but is encompassed, particularly by sequentially.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention to make the polyurethane of Markusch according to the instantly claimed process because this process is encompassed by Markusch as discussed above, particularly where "sequentially" reacted components are used to make the prepolymer disclosed by Markush and component II of column 7, lines 38-45 are used as the last component of the sequence prior to neutralization, emulsifying in water and chain extension and would have been expected to give the properties of Markusch's compositions including hydrolytic stability.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 571-272-1121. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 10 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Patrick D. Niland
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1714